# 9. Important random processes

definitions, properties, and applications

- Random walk: genetic drifts, slowly-varying parameters, neuron firing
- Gaussian: popularly used by its tractability
- Wiener/Brownian: movement dynamics of particles
- White noise: widely used by its independence property
- Markov: population dynamics, market trends, page-rank algorithm
- **Poisson:** number of phone calls in a varying interval
- **ARMAX:** time series model in finance, engineering

## Bernoulli random process

a (time) sequence of indepenent Bernoulli RV is an iid Bernoulli RP

example:

- I[n] is an indicator function of the event at time n where I[n] = 1 when success and I[n] = 0 when fail
- let D[n] = 2I[n] 1 and it is called **random step** process

$$D[n] = 1 \text{ or } -1$$

D[n] can represent the *deviation* of a particle movement along a line

#### Sum process

the sum of a sequence of iid random variables,  $X_1, X_2, \ldots$ 

$$S[n] = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

where S[0] = 0, is called the **sum process** 

- we can write  $S[n] = S[n-1] + X_n$  (recursively)
- the sum process has *independent increments* in nonoverlapping intervals

$$S[n] - S[n-1] = X_n, \quad S[n-1] - S[n-2] = X_{n-1}, \dots, S[2] - S[1] = X_2$$

(since  $X_k$ 's are iid)

• the sum process has *stationary increments* 

$$P(S[n] - S[k] = y) = P(S[n - k] = y), \quad n > k$$

autocovariance of the sum process:

- assume  $X_k$ 's have mean m and variance  $\sigma^2$
- $\mathbf{E}[S[n]] = nm (X_k$ 's are iid)
- $\operatorname{var}[S[n]] = n\sigma^2 (X_k$ 's are iid)

we can show that

$$C(n,k) = \min(n,k)\sigma^2$$

the proof follows from letting  $n \leq k$ , and so  $n = \min(n, k)$ 

$$C(n,k) = \mathbf{E}[(S[n] - nm)(S[k] - km)]$$
  
=  $\mathbf{E}[(S[n] - nm)\{(S[n] - nm) + (S[k] - km) - (S[n] - nm)\}]$   
=  $\mathbf{E}[(S[n] - nm)^2] + \mathbf{E}[(S[n] - nm)(S[k] - S[n] - (k - n)m)]$   
=  $\mathbf{E}[(S[n] - nm)^2] + \mathbf{E}[(S[n] - nm)]\mathbf{E}[(S[k] - S[n] - (k - n)m)]$ 

(apply that S[n] has independent increments and  $\mathbf{E}[S[n] - nm] = 0$ )

more properties of a sum process

- the joint pdf/pmf of  $S(1), \ldots, S(n)$  is given by the product of pdf of S(1) and the marginals of individual *increments* 
  - $X_k$ 's are integer-valued
  - $X_k$ 's are continuous-valued
- the sum process is a Markov process (more on this)

## **Binomial counting process**

let I[n] be iid Bernoulli random process

the sum process S[n] of I[n] is then the **counting process** 

- it gives the number successses in the first n Bernoulli trial
- the counting process is an increasing function
- S[n] is binomial with parameter p (probability of success)

#### Random walk

let D[n] be iid random step process where

$$D[n] = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{with probability } p \\ -1, & \text{with probability } p \end{cases}$$

the random walk process X[n] is defined by

$$X[0] = 0, \quad X[n] = \sum_{k=1}^{n} D[k], \quad k \ge 1$$

- the random walk is a sum process
- we can show that  $\mathbf{E}[X[n]] = n(2p-1)$
- the random walk has a tendency to either grow if p>1/2 or to decrease if p<1/2

a random walk example as the sum of Bernoulli sequences with p=1/2



 $\mathbf{E}[X(n)] = 0$  and  $\mathbf{var}[X(n)] = n$  (variance grows over time)

properties:

• *X*[*n*] has *independent stationary increments* in nonoverlapping time intervals

$$P[X[m] - X[n] = y] = P[X[m - n] = y]$$

(increments in intervals of the same length have the same distribution)

• a random walk is related to an **autoregressive process** since

$$X[n+1] = X[n] + D[n+1]$$

(widely used to model financial time series, biological signals, etc)

stock price: 
$$\log X[n+1] = \log X[n] + \beta D[n+1]$$

• extension: if D[n] is a Gaussian process, we say X[n] is a Gaussian random walk

#### **Gaussian process**

an RP X(t) is a **Gaussian** process if the samples

$$X_1 = X(t_1), X_2 = X(t_2), \quad X_k = X(t_k)$$

are jointly Gaussian RV for all k and all choices of  $t_1, \ldots, t_k$ 

that is the joint pdf of samples from time instants is given by

$$f_{X_1,\dots,X_k}(x_1,\dots,x_k) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{k/2} |\Sigma|^{1/2}} e^{-(1/2)(x-m)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x-m)}$$
$$m = \begin{bmatrix} m(t_1) \\ m(t_2) \\ \vdots \\ m(t_k) \end{bmatrix}, \Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} C(t_1,t_1) & C(t_1,t_2) & \cdots & C(t_1,t_k) \\ C(t_2,t_1) & C(t_2,t_2) & \cdots & C(t_2,t_k) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ C(t_k,t_1) & \cdots & C(t_k,t_k) \end{bmatrix}$$

properties:

- Gaussian RPs are specified completely by the mean and covariance functions
- Gaussian RPs can be both continuous-time and discrete-time
- linear operations on Gaussian RPs preserve Gaussian properties

**example:** let X(t) be a zero-mean Gaussian RP with

$$C(t_1, t_2) = 4e^{-3|t_1 - t_2|}$$

find the joint pdf of X(t) and X(t+s) we see that

$$C(t, t+s) = 4e^{-3s}, \quad \mathbf{var}[X(t)] = C(t, t) = 4$$

therefore, the joint of pdf of X(t) and X(t+s) is the Gaussian distribution parametrized by

$$f_{X(t),X(t+s)}(x_1,x_2) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)|\Sigma|^{1/2}} e^{-(1/2) \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}^T \Sigma^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}}$$

where

$$\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4e^{-3s} \\ 4e^{-3s} & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

**example:** let X(t) be a Gaussian RP and let Y(t) = X(t+d) - X(t)

• mean of Y(t) is

$$m_y(t) = \mathbf{E}[Y(t)] = m_x(t+d) - m_x(t)$$

• the autocorrelation of Y(t) is

$$R_y(t_1, t_2) = \mathbf{E}[(X(t_1 + d) - X(t_1))(X(t_2 + d) - X(t_2))]$$
  
=  $R_x(t_1 + d, t_2 + d) - R_x(t_1 + d, t_2) - R_x(t_1, t_2 + d) + R_x(t_1, t_2)$ 

• the autocovariance of Y(t) is then

$$C_y(t_1, t_2) = \mathbf{E}[(X(t_1+d) - X(t_1) - m_y(t_1))(X(t_2+d) - X(t_2) - m_y(t_2))]$$
  
=  $C_x(t_1+d, t_2+d) - C_x(t_1+d, t_2) - C_x(t_1, t_2+d) + C_x(t_1, t_2)$ 

since Y(t) is the sum of two Gaussians then Y(t) must be Gaussian

• any k-time samples of Y(t)

$$Y(t_1), Y(t_2), \ldots, Y(t_k)$$

is linear transformation of jointly Gaussians, so  $Y(t_1), \ldots, Y(t_k)$  have jointly Gaussian pdf

- for example, find joint pdf of Y(t) and Y(t+s): need only mean and covariance
  - $m_y(t)$  and  $m_y(t+s)$
  - covariance is given by

$$\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} C_y(t,t) & C_y(t,t+s) \\ C_y(t,t+s) & C_y(t+s,t+s) \end{bmatrix}$$

#### Wiener process

consider the random step on page 9-2

symmetric walk (p = 1/2), magnitude step of M, time step of h seconds let  $X_h(t)$  be the accumulated sum of random step up to time t

- $X_h(t) = M(D[1] + D[2] + \dots + D[n]) = MS[n]$  where n = [t/h]
- $\mathbf{E}[X_h(t)] = 0$
- $\operatorname{var}[X_h(t)] = M^2 n$

Wiener process X(t): obtained from  $X_h(t)$  by shrinking the magnitude and time step to zero in a *precise way* 

$$h \to 0, \quad M \to 0, \quad \text{with } M = \sqrt{\alpha h} \text{ where } \alpha > 0 \text{ is constant}$$

(meaning; if v = M/h represents a particle speed then  $v \to \infty$  as displacement M goes to 0)

properties of Wiener (also called Wiener-Levy) process:

- $\mathbf{E}[X(t)] = 0$  (zero mean of all time)
- $\operatorname{var}[X(t)] = (\sqrt{\alpha h})^2 \cdot (t/h) = \alpha t$  (stays finite and nonzero)
- $X(t) = \lim_{h \to 0} M(D[1] + \dots + D[n]) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sqrt{\alpha t} \frac{S[n]}{\sqrt{n}}$ approaching the sum of an *infinite* number of RV
- by CLT, pdf X(t) approaches Gaussian with mean zero and variance  $\alpha t$

$$f_{X(t)}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\alpha t}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\alpha t}}$$

- X(t) has independent stationary increments (from random walk form)
- Wiener process is a Gaussian random process  $(X(t_k))$  is obtained as linear transformation of increments)
- Wiener process is used to model **Brownian motion** (movement of particles in fluid)

• the covariance function of Wiener process is

$$C(t_1, t_2) = \alpha \min(t_1, t_2), \quad \alpha > 0$$

to show this, let  $t_1 \ge t_2$ ,

$$C(t_1, t_2) = \mathbf{E}[X(t_1)X(t_2)] = \mathbf{E}[(X(t_1) - X(t_2) + X(t_2))X(t_2)]$$
  
=  $\mathbf{E}[(X(t_1) - X(t_2)X(t_2)] + \mathbf{var}[X(t_2)]$   
=  $0 + \alpha t_2$ 

using  $X(t_1) - X(t_2)$  and  $X(t_2)$  are independent (when  $t_1 \ge t_2$ )

if  $t_2 < t_1$ , we do the same and obtain  $C(t_1, t_2) = \alpha t_1$ 

sample paths of Wiener process when  $\alpha = 2$ 



 $\mathbf{E}[X(t)] = 0$  and  $\mathbf{var}[X(t)] = \alpha t$  (variance grows over time)

## White noise process

a random process X(t) is white noise if

- $\mathbf{E}[X(t)] = 0$  (zero mean for all t)
- $\mathbf{E}[X(t)X(s)] = 0$  for  $t \neq s$  (uncorrelated with another time sample)

in another word,

• the correlation function of a white noise is the *impulse function* 

$$R(t_1, t_2) = \alpha \delta(t_1 - t_2), \quad \alpha > 0$$

- power spectral density is flat (more on this):  $S(\omega) = \alpha$ ,  $\forall \omega$
- X(t) has infinite power, varies extremely rapidly in time, and is most unpredictable

those two properties of white noise are derived from the definition that

white Gaussian noise process is the *time derivative* of Wiener process recall the correlation of wiener process is  $R_{\text{wiener}}(t_1, t_2) = \alpha \min(t_1, t_2)$ 

$$R(t_1, t_2) = \mathbf{E}[X(t_1)X(t_2)] = \mathbf{E}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t_1}X_{\text{wiener}}(t_1) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial t_2}X_{\text{wiener}}(t_2)\right]$$
$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial t_2}R_{\text{wiener}}(t_1, t_2) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial t_2}\begin{cases}\alpha t_2, & t_2 < t_1\\\alpha t_1, & t_2 \ge t_1\end{cases}$$
$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1}\alpha u(t_1 - t_2), \quad u \text{ is the step function}$$

but u is not differentiable at  $t_1 = t_2$ , so the second derivative does not exist instead, we generalize this notion using delta function

$$R(t_1, t_2) = \alpha \delta(t_1 - t_2)$$

example of white noite Gaussian process with variance 2



- mean function (averaged over 10,000 realizations) is close to zero
- sample autocorrelation is close to a delta function where  $R(0) \approx 2$

## Poisson process

let N(t) be the number of event occurrences in the interval [0, t] properties:

- non-decreasing function (of time t)
- integer-valued and continuous-time RP

assumptions:

- events occur at an average rate of  $\lambda$  events per seconds
- the interval [0, t] is divided into n subintervals and let h = t/n
- the probability of *more than one event* occurrences in a subinterval is negligible compared to the probability of observing one or zero events
- whether or not an event occurs in a subinterval is independent of the outcomes in other subintervals

#### meaning:

- the outcome in each subinterval can be viewed as a Bernoulli trial
- these Bernoulli trials are independent
- N(t) can be *approximated* by the binomial counting process

Binomial counting process:

- $\bullet\,$  let the probability of an event occurrence in subinterval is p
- average number of events in [0, t] is  $\lambda t = np$
- let  $n \to \infty$   $(h = t/n \to 0)$  and  $p \to 0$  while  $np = \lambda t$  is fixed
- from the following approximation when  $\boldsymbol{n}$  is large

$$P(N(t) = k) = \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k} \approx \frac{(\lambda t)^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda t}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots$$

N(t) has a Poisson distribution and is called a **Poisson process** 

example of Poisson process  $\lambda = 0.5$ 

• generated by taking cumulative sum of *n*-sequence Bernoulli with and  $p = \lambda T/n$  where n = 1000 and T = 50



- the rate of Poisson process grows as  $\lambda t$  for  $t \in [0,T]$
- the mean and variance functions (approximate over 100 realizations) have linear trend over time

joint pmf: for  $t_1 < t_2$ ,

$$P[N(t_1) = i, N(t_2) = j] = P[N(t_1) = i]P[N(t_2) - N(t_1) = j - i]$$
  
= 
$$P[N(t_1) = i]P[N(t_2 - t_1) = j - i]$$
  
= 
$$\frac{(\lambda t_1)^i e^{-\lambda t_1}}{i!} \frac{(\lambda (t_2 - t_1))^j e^{-\lambda (t_2 - t_1)}}{(j - i)!}$$

autocovariance:  $C(t_1, t_2) = \lambda \min(t_1, t_2)$ 

for  $t_1 \leq t_2$ ,  $C(t_1, t_2) = \mathbf{E}[(N(t_1) - \lambda t_1)(N(t_2) - \lambda t_2)]$   $= \mathbf{E}[(N(t_1) - \lambda t_1)\{N(t_2) - N(t_1) - \lambda t_2 + \lambda t_1 + (N(t_1) - \lambda t_1)\}]$   $= \mathbf{E}[(N(t_1) - \lambda t_1)]\mathbf{E}[(N(t_2) - N(t_1) - \lambda(t_2 - t_1)] + \mathbf{var}[N(t_1)]$   $= \lambda t_1$ 

we have used *independent and stationary increments* property

examples:

- random telegraph signal
- the number of car accidents at a site or in an area
- the requests for individuals documents on a web server
- the number of customers arriving at a store

## Time between events in Poisson process

let T be the time between event occurrences in a Poisson process

• the probability involving T follows

$$P[T > t] = P[\text{no events in } t \text{ seconds}] = (1 - p)^n$$
$$= \left(1 - \frac{\lambda t}{n}\right)^n \to e^{-\lambda t}, \quad \text{as } n \to \infty$$

T is an exponential RV with parameter  $\lambda$ 

- the interarrival time in the underlying binomial proces are independent geometric RV
- the sequence of interarrival times T[n] in a Poisson process form an iid sequence of exponential RVs with mean  $1/\lambda$
- the sum  $S[n] = T[1] + \cdots + T[n]$  has Erland distribution

#### Markov process

for any time instants,  $t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_k < t_{k+1}$ , if

#### discrete-valued

$$P[X(t_{k+1}) = x_{k+1} \mid X(t_k) = x_k, \dots, X(t_1) = x_1] = P[X(t_{k+1} = x_{k+1} \mid X(t_k) = x_k]$$

#### continuous-valued

$$f(x_{k+1} \mid X(t_k) = x_k, \dots, X(t_1) = x_1) = f(x_{k+1} \mid X(t_k) = x_k)$$

then we say X(t) is a **Markov** process

joint pdf conditioned on several time instants reduce to pdf conditioned on the *most recent* time instant

properties:

- pmf and pdf of Markov processes are conditioned on several time instants can reduce to pmf/pdf that is only conditioned on the most recent time instant
- an integer-valued Markov process is called a **Markov chain** (more details on this)
- the sum of iid sequence where S[0] = 0 is a Markov process
- a Poisson process is a continuous-time Markov process
- a Wiener process is a continous-valued Markov process
- in fact, any *independent-increment* process is also Markov

to see this, for a discrete-valued RP,

$$P[X(t_{k+1}) = x_{k+1} | X(t_k) = x_k, \dots, X(t_1) = x_1]$$
  
=  $P[X(t_{k+1}) - X(t_k) = x_{k+1} - x_k | X(t_k) = x_k, \dots, X(t_1) = x_1]$   
=  $P[X(t_{k+1}) - X(t_k) = x_{k+1} - x_k | X(t_k) = x_k]$  by independent increments  
=  $P[X(t_{k+1}) = x_{k+1} | X(t_k) = x_k]$ 

more examples of Markov process

birth-death Markov chains: transitions only between adjacent states are allowed

$$p(t+1) = Pp(t), P$$
 is tri-diagonal

• M/M/1 queue (a queuing model): continuous-time Markov chain

$$\dot{p}(t) = Qp(t)$$

#### **Discrete-time Markov chain**

a Markov chain is a random sequence that has n possible states:

$$X(t) \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

with the property that

**prob**
$$(X(t+1) = i | X(t) = j) = p_{ij}$$

where  $P = [p_{ij}] \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$ 

- $p_{ij}$  is the **transition probability** from state j to state i
- P is called the transition matrix of the Markov chain
- the state X(t) still cannot be determined with *certainty*
- $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$  is called *label* (simply mapped to integers)

example:

a customer may rent a car from any of three locations and return to any of the three locations



### **Properties of transition matrix**

let P be the transition matrix of a Markov chain

- all entries of *P* are real *nonnegative* numbers
- the entries in any column are summed to 1 or  $\mathbf{1}^T P = \mathbf{1}^T$ :

$$p_{1j} + p_{2j} + \dots + p_{nj} = 1$$

(a property of a **stochastic matrix**)

- 1 is an eigenvalue of P
- if q is an eigenvector of P corresponding to eigenvalue 1, then

$$P^k q = q$$
, for any  $k = 0, 1, 2, ...$ 

### **Probability vector**

we can represent probability distribution of x(t) as *n*-vector

$$p(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{prob}(x(t) = 1) \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{prob}(x(t) = n) \end{bmatrix}$$

• p(t) is called a **state probability vector** at time t

• 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i(t) = 1$$
 or  $\mathbf{1}^T p(t) = 1$ 

• the state probability propagates like a linear system:

$$p(t+1) = Pp(t)$$

• the state PMF at time t is obtained by multiplying the initial PMF by  $P^t$ 

$$p(t) = P^t p(0)$$
, for  $t = 0, 1, ...$ 

example: a Markov model for packet speech

- two states of packet speech: contain 'silent activity' or 'speech activity'
- the transition matrix is  $P = \begin{bmatrix} 0.8 & 0.4 \\ 0.2 & 0.6 \end{bmatrix}$
- the initial state probability is p(0) = (1, 0)
- the packet in the first state is 'silent' with certainty



- eigenvalues of P are 1 and 0.4
- calculate  $P^t$  by using 'diagonalization' or 'Cayley-Hamilton theorem'

$$P^{t} = \begin{bmatrix} (5/3)(0.4 + 0.2 \cdot 0.4^{t}) & (2/3)(1 - 0.4^{t}) \\ (1/3)(1 - 0.4^{t}) & (5/3)(0.2 + 0.4^{t+1}) \end{bmatrix}$$

• 
$$P^t \to \begin{bmatrix} 2/3 & 2/3 \\ 1/3 & 1/3 \end{bmatrix}$$
 as  $t \to \infty$  (all columns are the same in limit!)  
•  $\lim_{t\to\infty} p(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 2/3 & 2/3 \\ 1/3 & 1/3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p_1(0) \\ 1 - p_1(0) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2/3 \\ 1/3 \end{bmatrix}$ 

p(t) does not depend on the *initial state probability* as  $t \to \infty$ 

what if 
$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
 ?

• we can see that

$$P^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad P^3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \dots$$

•  $P^t$  does not converge but oscillates between two values

under what condition p(t) converges to a constant vector as  $t \to \infty$ ?

**Definition:** a transition matrix is **regular** if some integer power of it has all *positive* entries

**Fact:** if P is regular and let w be any probability vector, then

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} P^t w = q$$

where q is a **fixed** probability vector, independent of t

### Steady state probabilities

we are interested in the steady state probability vector

$$q = \lim_{t \to \infty} p(t) \qquad \text{(if converges)}$$

• the steady-state vector q of a regular transition matrix P satisfies

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} p(t+1) = P \lim_{t \to \infty} p(t) \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad Pq = q$$

(in other words, q is an eigenvector of P corresponding to eigenvalue 1)

• if we start with p(0) = q then

$$p(t) = P^t p(0) = 1^t q = q$$
, for all t

q is also called the **stationary state PMF** of the Markov chain

probabilities of weather conditions given the weather on the preceding day:

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 0.4 & 0.2\\ 0.6 & 0.8 \end{bmatrix}$$

(probability that it will rain tomorrow given today is sunny, is 0.2)

given today is sunny with probability 1, calculate the probability of a rainy day in long term

#### **Gauss-Markov process**

let W[n] be a white Gaussian noise process with  $W[1] \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ definition: a Gauss-Markov process is a first-order autoregressive process

$$X[1] = W[1], \quad X[n] = aX[n-1] + W[n], \quad n \ge 1, \quad |a| < 1$$

- clearly, X[n] is Markov since the state X[n] only depends on X[n-1]
- X[n] is Gaussian because if we let

 $X_{k} = X[k], \quad W_{k} = W[k], \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n \quad (\text{time instants})$   $\begin{bmatrix} X_{1} \\ X_{2} \\ \vdots \\ X_{n-1} \\ X_{n} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ a & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a^{n-2} & a^{n-3} & \vdots & 1 & 0 \\ a^{n-1} & a^{n-2} & \cdots & a & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} W_{1} \\ W_{2} \\ \vdots \\ W_{n-1} \\ W_{n} \end{bmatrix}$ pdf of  $(X_{1}, \dots, X_{n})$  is Gaussian for all n

questions involving a Gauss-Markov process

setting:

- we can observe Y[n] = X[n] + V[n] where V represents a sensor noise
- $\bullet\,$  only  $Y\,$  can be observed, but we do not know X

question: can we estimate X[n] from information of Y[n] and statistical properties of W and V?

solution: yes we can. one choice is to apply a Kalman filter

example: a = 0.8, Y[k] = 2X[k] + V[k]



X[k] is estimated by Kalman filter

### References

Chapter 9 in A. Leon-Garcia, *Probability, Statistics, and Random Processes for Electrical Engineering*, 3rd edition, Pearson Prentice Hall, 2009

Chapter 9 in

H. Stark and J. W. Woods, *Probability, Statistics, and Random Processes for Engineers*, 4th edition, Pearson, 2012