# Linear regression

Jitkomut Songsiri

Department of Electrical Engineering Faculty of Engineering Chulalongkorn University

CUEE

January 13, 2023

# **Outline**



- 3 Variable selection
- 4 Softwares and practical issues

# Multiple linear regression

### Description of linear regression

**a** a linear relationship between variables  $y$  and  $x_k$  using a linear function:

$$
y = \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \dots + \beta_n x_n \triangleq x^T \beta
$$

where  $y \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbf{R}^n$ 

- $\blacksquare$  *y* contains the measurement variables and is often called the *regressed/response/explained/dependent variable*
- $x_k$ 's are the input variables that explain the behavior of *y*; called the *predictor/explanatory/independent variables*
- *β* is the *regression coefficient*

### Linear regression in matrix form

given a data set:  $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^m$  we can form a matrix equation

$$
\begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_N \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & \cdots & x_{1n} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & \cdots & x_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{N1} & x_{N2} & \cdots & x_{Nn} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_n \end{bmatrix} \quad \triangleq \quad y = X\beta
$$

- $\mathbf{R} \in \mathbf{R}^{N \times n}$  is sometimes called *the design/regressor matrix*
- given *y* and *X*, one would like to estimate  $\beta$  that gives the linear model output match best with *y*
- **n** in practice, in the presence of noise and disturbance, more data should be collected in order to get a better estimate – leading to *overdetermined* linear equations
- an exact solution to  $y = X\beta$  does not usually exist; however, it can be solved by **linear least-squares** formulation

### Problem statement

**setting:** *y* is linear in *X* but corrupted by some noise

$$
y = X\beta + e, \quad X \in \mathbf{R}^{N \times n} \quad \text{with} \ \ N > n
$$

*e* is the error term

**linear least-squares formulation:**

minimize 
$$
||y - X\beta||_2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{ij}\beta_j - y_i)^2\right)^{1/2}
$$

- *r* = *y − Xβ* is called *the residual error*
- *β* with smallest residual norm *∥r∥* is called *the least-squares solution*
- equivalent to minimizing *∥y − Xβ∥* 2



### Fitting linear least-squares

left: explain the sale amount by advertising on TV



- left: sum squared distance of data points to the line is minimum (this line fits best)
- right: for two predictors, LS solution is the normal vector of hyperplane that lies closest to all data points of *y*



### Example: data fitting

given data points  $\{(t_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^m$ , we aim to approximate  $y$  using a function  $g(t)$ 

$$
y = g(t) := \beta_1 g_1(t) + \beta_2 g_2(t) + \dots + \beta_n g_n(t)
$$

- $g_k(t): \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$  is a basis function
	- polynomial functions:  $1, t, t^2, \ldots, t^n$
	- **sinusoidal functions:**  $\cos(\omega_k t)$ ,  $\sin(\omega_k t)$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$
- $\blacksquare$  the linear regression model can be formulated as

$$
\begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} g_1(t_1) & g_2(t_1) & \cdots & g_n(t_1) \\ g_1(t_2) & g_2(t_2) & \cdots & g_n(t_2) \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ g_1(t_m) & g_2(t_m) & \cdots & g_n(t_m) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_n \end{bmatrix} \quad \triangleq \quad y = X\beta
$$

often have  $N \gg n$ , *i.e.*, explaining  $y$  using a few parameters in the model

Statistical inference and modeling Jitkomut Songsiri 8 / 33

fitting a 6th-order polynomial to data points generated from  $f(t) = 1/(1 + t^2)$ 



- (right) the weighted sum of basis functions  $(x^k)$  is the fitted polynomial
- $\blacksquare$  the ground-truth function  $f$  is nonlinear, but can be decomposed as a sum of polynomials

. Statistical inference and modeling and the statistical inference and modeling and the statistical of  $\overline{9}$  / 33

### Closed-form solution to LS problem

setting the gradient of  $\|y - X\beta\|_2^2$  gives

 ${A}$  *n*ormal equation:  $X^T X \beta = X^T y$ 

if  $X \in \mathbf{R}^{N \times n}$  with  $N \geq n$  is full rank, then

- least-squares solution can be found by solving the normal equations
- *n* equations in *n* variables with a positive definite coefficient matrix
- the closed-form solution is  $\beta = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T y$  and unique
- $(X^T X)^{-1} X^T$  is a left inverse of *X*

note:  $\text{rank}(X) = n \Rightarrow \mathcal{N}(X) = \{0\} \Rightarrow X^T X \succ 0$  (hence,  $X^T X$  is invertible)

in MATLAB, a LS solution is solved by  $X\Y$ 

# Geometric interpretation of a LS problem



- *■* $||y X\beta||_2$  **is the distance from** *y* **to**  $X\beta = \beta_1x_1 + \beta_2x_2 + \cdots + \beta_nx_n$
- solution  $\beta$ <sub>ls</sub> gives the linear combination of the columns of *X* closest to *y*
- $\hat{y} = X\beta_{\text{ls}}$  is the **orthogonal projection** of *y* to the range of *X*
- $P = X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T$  is an **orthogonal projection** matrix (aka hat matrix)

### Interpreting regression coefficients

advertising data: sale is explained by advertising costs in TV, radio and newspaper



given  $\hat{\beta}$ , a predicted output is  $\hat{y}=X\hat{\beta}=x_1\hat{\beta}_1+\cdots+x_n\hat{\beta}_n$ 

- $\blacksquare$   $\beta_j$  is the average effect on *y* of a one unit increase in  $x_j$ , holding all other predictors fixed
- $\blacksquare$  in real data, predictors can have correlation (when  $x_1$  changes then  $x_2$  cannot be assumed constant)

. Statistical inference and modeling and the statistical inference and modeling and the July 33 Jitkomut Songsiri

# Properties of LS estimate

Statistical inference and modeling and  $J$  Jitkomut Songsiri Properties of LS estimate

. .

### Analysis of the LS estimate

#### **assumptions:**

- data generating process is  $y = X\beta + u$
- **■** *u* is *white noise* with zero mean and covariance matrix Σ
- **u** the least-square estimate is given by  $\hat{\beta} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\beta} \|X\beta y\|_2$
- the regressor *X* is *deterministic*

then the following properties hold:

- $\hat{\beta}$  is an unbiased estimate of  $\beta$  ( $\mathbf{E}\hat{\beta} = \beta$ , or  $\hat{\beta} = \beta$  when  $u = 0$ )
- the covariance matrix of  $\hat{\beta}$  is given by

$$
\mathbf{cov}(\hat{\beta}) = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T \Sigma X (X^T X)^{-1}
$$

**short proof:** we can write the LS estimate as

$$
\hat{\beta} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T y = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T (X \beta + u) = \beta + (X^T X)^{-1} X^T u
$$

- **s** since  $X$  is deterministic and  $u$  is zero mean, we have  $\mathbf{E}\hat{\beta} = \beta$
- the covariance of  $\hat{\beta}$  is derived by

$$
\mathbf{cov}(\hat{\beta}) = \mathbf{E}[(\hat{\beta} - \mathbf{E}\hat{\beta})(\hat{\beta} - \mathbf{E}\hat{\beta})^T]
$$

 ${\bf b}$ ut  ${\bf E}\hat{\beta}=\beta$  and that  $\hat{\beta}-{\bf E}\hat{\beta}=(X^TX)^{-1}X^Tu$ , hence,

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}\n\mathbf{cov}(\hat{\beta}) & = & \mathbf{cov}[(X^TX)^{-1}X^Tu] \\
& = & (X^TX)^{-1}X^T \mathbf{cov}(u)X(X^TX)^{-1} \\
& = & (X^TX)^{-1}X^T \Sigma X(X^TX)^{-1}\n\end{array}
$$

if  $\Sigma = \sigma^2 I$ , then it reduces to  $\mathbf{cov}(\hat{\beta}) = \sigma^2 (X^T X)^{-1}$ 

Statistical inference and modeling and  $\frac{1}{3}$  Jitkomut Songsiri

$$
4 \Box + 4 \Box + 4 \Xi + 4 \Xi + 4 \Xi
$$

### BLUE property

assumptions: *u* is white noise with zero mean and **unit** covariance  $(cov(u) = I)$ 

the estimator defined by

$$
\hat{\beta}_{\rm ls} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T y
$$

is the **optimum unbiased linear least-mean-squares** estimator of *β*

assume  $\hat{\beta} = By$  is any other linear estimator of  $\beta$ 

- require  $BX = I$  in order for  $\hat{z}$  to be unbiased
- **c**  $\mathbf{c}\mathbf{v}(\hat{\beta}) = BB^T$
- $\textbf{cov}(\hat{\beta}_{\text{ls}}) = BX(X^TX)^{-1}X^TB^T \quad \textbf{(apply } BX = I\textbf{)}$

Using  $I - X(X^TX)^{-1}X^T ≥ 0$ , we conclude that

$$
\mathbf{cov}(\hat{\beta}) - \mathbf{cov}(\hat{\beta}_{\text{ls}}) = B(I - X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T) B^T \succeq 0
$$

- BLUE property is also known as **Gauss-Markov theorem**
- the assumption that  $\mathbf{cov}(u) = I$  (or could be  $\sigma^2 I)$  is equivalent to
	- $\mathbf{var}(u_i) = \sigma^2$  for all *i*, *i.e.*, the error terms have the same variance (**homoskedasticity**)
		- $\mathbf{cov}(u_i, u_j) = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ , *i.e.*, the error terms are uncorrelated
- the proof on the optimality use the fact that  $P = X(X^TX)^{-1}X^T$  is an **orthogonal projection** matrix which have properties:
	- $P^T = F$
	- $P^2 = P$
	- $||Px|| \leq ||x||$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$

these properties imply that  $I - P \succeq 0$ 

# Properties of estimation errors

 $\mathsf{under}$  the homoskedastic assumption  $u_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0,\sigma^2)$  and define

$$
\hat{u} = y - X\hat{\beta}_{\text{ls}}, \quad \text{RSS} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{u}_i^2, \quad s^2 = \text{RSS}/(N - n) = ||\hat{u}||_2^2/(N - n)
$$

 $s^2$  is an unbiased estimate for  $\sigma^2$ 

 $(N − n)s<sup>2</sup>/σ<sup>2</sup> ∼ χ<sup>2</sup>$ (*N − n*) (require Gaussian assumption of *ui*) an estimate of covariance and standard error of *β*ˆ are

$$
\mathbf{cov}(\hat{\beta}) = s^2 (X^T X)^{-1}, \quad \mathsf{SE}(\hat{\beta}_k) = \sqrt{\mathbf{cov}(\hat{\beta})_{kk}}
$$

- using more samples gives smaller  $\mathbf{cov}(\hat{\beta})$
- $\blacksquare$  if predictors are highly correlated, the covariance is big





# Accuracy of the model

 $\mathbb{R}^2$  is based on the decomposition of the total sum of squares (TSS)

$$
\underbrace{\sum_{i} (y_i - \bar{y})^2}_{\text{TSS}} = \underbrace{\sum_{i} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2}_{\text{RSS}} + \underbrace{\sum_{i} (\hat{y}_i - \bar{y})^2}_{\text{ESS}} + 2 \sum_{i} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)(\hat{y}_i - \bar{y})
$$

TSS (total), RSS (residual) and ESS (explained) sum of squares for OLS, the last term on RHS is zero if the model has a constant term, so

$$
TSS = RSS + ESS
$$

 $R^2$  is defined as

$$
R^2 = \frac{\text{ESS}}{\text{TSS}} = 1 - \frac{\text{RSS}}{\text{TSS}}
$$

 $R^2$  is between  $0$  and  $1$  and it measures the proportion of variability in  $Y$  that can be explained using *X*

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}\n\leftarrow & & & \\
\leftarrow & & & \\
\leftarrow & & & \\
\leftarrow & & & \\
\end{array}
$$

# Variable selection

### Hypothesis testing

a significance test of regression coefficients involves

$$
H_0: \beta_k = 0 \quad \text{versus} \quad H_1: \beta_k \neq 0
$$

we compute a *t*-statistic given by

$$
T = \frac{\hat{\beta}_k}{\mathsf{SE}(\hat{\beta}_k)} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_k}{\sqrt{s^2[(X^TX)^{-1}]_{kk}}}\qquad \sim t_{N-n}
$$

and compute the probability of observing any value equal to *|T|* or larger

$$
p\text{-value} = P(t_{N-n} \geq |T|)
$$

if *p*-value  $< \alpha$  (a given significance level) then we reject  $H_0$  ( $x_k$  is significant)

#### Results on advertising data

run simple regression versus multiple regression





- top left: a 1,000 USD increase in radio ad budget is associated with an average increase in sales by around 203 units
- top right: a 1,000 USD increase in newspaper budget is associated with an average increase in sales by around 55 units
- regression *p*-value is high and newspaper is not significant **produce the self of the s** bottom: the coefficient of newspaper is by contrast close to zero in multiple

when examining the correlation matrix of predictors and response



- note that the correlation between radio ad and newspaper is 0.35
- markets with high newspaper ad tend to also have high radio ad
- multiple regression shows that newspaper ad is not directly associated with sales
- **however, when running a simple regression, newspaper is a surrogate for radio ad** and get credit for explaining sales

### Deciding important predictors

which predictors should be used to explain the response ?

common methods in variable selection:

- best subset selection: consider all possible model candidates
- forward selection: searching starts from a null model
- $\blacksquare$  backward selection: searching starts from a dense model
- shrinkage method (regularization techniques)

### Best subset selection

consider  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_p$  as  $p$  predictors



 $S_k\!\!:$  the model class that each contains  $k$  predictors  $(S_0$  has only constant term) there are  $\binom{p}{k}$  $\binom{p}{k}$  sub-models in  $S_k$  and no. of all possible sub-models is  $\sum_{k=1}^p\binom{p}{k}$  $\binom{p}{k} = 2^p$ 



we would like to pick the 'best' model according to some model selection criterion **steps in variable selection**

**1** for  $k = 1, ..., p$ 

**2** for  $j = 1, \ldots, \hat{\binom{p}{k}}$ *k*

- 1 fit all '*p* choose *k*' sub-models that contain *k* predictors
- $\mathbf 2$  pick the best among  $\binom{p}{k}$  models and call it  $M_j$
- 3 here 'best' is defined as having the smallest RSS on training data
- <sup>3</sup> select a single best model among *M*0*, M*1*, . . . , M<sup>p</sup>* using *cross-validated* prediction error, AIC, BIC or adjusted *R*<sup>2</sup>

step 3 is one of the two approaches to obtain the best model having *a low test error*

- *indirectly* estimate test error by *adjusting* training error to account for bias due to overfitting (here, using model selection score instead)
- *directly* estimate the test error, using a validation set/CV approach

### Stepwise selection

when *p* is large, the best subset selection suffers from looking in a large search space



- stepwise selection explores over a a more *restricted* set of models
- forward selection starts from a null model, while backward selection starts from a full model

#### Forward stepwise selection

we start to consider the **null** model and add more predictors one at a time

1 let  $M_0$  be the *null model* which contains no predictors

2 for  $k = 0, ..., p$ 

- 1 consider all *p − k* models that augment the predictors in *M<sup>k</sup>* with **one** additional predictor
- 2 choose the best among these  $p k$  models and call it  $M_{k+1}$
- 3 the best model here is to have the smallest RSS or largest *R*<sup>2</sup>
- <sup>3</sup> select a single best model among *M*0*, M*1*, . . . , M<sup>p</sup>* using cross-validated AIC, BIC or adjusted *R*<sup>2</sup>
- there are  $\sum_{k=0}^{p-1}(p-k)=1+p(p+1)/2$  models involved in this algorithm (much less than  $2^p$ )
- it may fail to find the best possible model out of all  $2^p$  models

#### Backward stepwise selection

we start to consider the **full** model and remove more predictors one at a time

 $\blacksquare$  let  $M_0$  be the *full model* which contains all  $p$  predictors

<sup>2</sup> for *k* = *p, p −* 1 *. . . ,* 1

- 1 consider all *k* models that contain all but one of the predictors in *Mk*, for a total of *k −* 1 predictors
- <sup>2</sup> choose the best among these *k* models and call it *M<sup>k</sup>−*<sup>1</sup>
- 3 the best model here is to have the smallest RSS or largest *R*<sup>2</sup>
- <sup>3</sup> select a single best model among *M*0*, M*1*, . . . , M<sup>p</sup>* using cross-validated AIC, BIC or adjusted *R*<sup>2</sup>
- there are  $\sum_{k=0}^{p-1}(p-k)=1+p(p+1)/2$  models involved in this algorithm (much less than  $2^p$ )
- it may fail to find the best possible model out of all  $2^p$  models

# Softwares and practical issues

### **Softwares**

**MATLAB:** Statistical and machine learning toolbox

- **fitlm:** linear regression fit
- stepwiselm: stepwise regression (users can select criterion to add/remove terms)

#### **Python modules:**

- statmodels
- scikit-learn: linear model

### Practical issues

- colinearity: two or more predictors are closely related
- correlation of error terms: error is not likely white
- non-constant variance of error terms: violate the homoskedastic assumption
- outliers: some data points are far from others

### **References**

Figures and examples are taken from the first two references (ISLR, ESL)

- 1 Chapter 3 in T. Hastie, R. Tibshirani and J. Friedman, *The Elements of Statistical Learning: Data Mining, Inference, and Prediction*, Springer, Second edition, 2009
- 2 Chapter 3 in G. James, D. Witten, T. Hastie, and R. Tibshirani, *An Introduction to Statistical Learning: with Application in R*, Springer, 2013
- 3 Appendix in W.H. Greene, *Econometric Analysis*, Prentice Hall, 2008